

Nanisha X. Ross

From: Maren Mahoney

Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 8:42 AM

To: Sandra D. Kennedy
Cc: Nanisha X. Ross

Subject: FW: Ms. Mangold's Bills (Docket #: E-01345A-19-0236)

Abhay would like us to docket his comments re: Ms. Mangold's APS/Wisconsin bills. It would go in the APS rate case.

From: Abhay Padgaonkar <abhay.padgaonkar@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2020 11:08 AM
To: Maren Mahoney < MMahoney@azcc.gov>

Subject: Re: Ms. Mangold's Bills (Docket #: E-01345A-19-0236)

Can you please docket my concern below in the two relevant dockets (E-01345A-19-0236 and RE-00000A-18-0405) that well-intentioned but inaccurate analyses are distracting from the real issues related to the proposed APS rate increase and Retail Electric Competition Rules?

Thanks. Abhay

On 3/31/2020 8:41 AM, Maren Mahoney wrote:

Abhay,

We docket all ratepayer complaints and concerns that are sent to us. If you have a complaint or concern that you'd like to share, you can send it to us and we will docket it.

Regards, Maren

From: Abhay Padgaonkar <abhay.padgaonkar@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 30, 2020 11:56 PM
To: Maren Mahoney MMahoney@azcc.gov
Cc: Sandra D. Kennedy sdkennedy@azcc.gov

Subject: Ms. Mangold's Bills (Docket #: E-01345A-19-0236)

Hi Maren,

If Ms. Mangold (https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000005644.pdf) is saying that her Wisconsin bill is easier to read, I have no argument. However, her argument that "we are being overcharged" just because APS has nonsensical charges is not true. Simpler or a shorter Wisconsin bill doesn't mean it's cheaper. In fact, the opposite is true by a wide margin as you will see in the simplified analysis below to calculate the underlying, fully-loaded rates implicit in her bills for both states.

Wisconsin:

Total Electricity Bill: \$44.40

Total kWh: 202 Rate: 22 cents/kWh

Arizona:

Total Electricity Bill: \$157.73

Total kWh: 1,300 (1,411 less Net Meter credit of 111 kWh)

Rate: 12 cents/kWh

I am hardly surprised. The residential rates in Wisconsin -- another monopoly state like Arizona -- were 10% higher on average compared to those in Arizona, according to the 2018 EIA data (https://www.eia.gov/electricity/sales_revenue_price/pdf/table5_a.pdf).

The difference in Ms. Mangold's rates is much greater. I suspect that it may have something to do with the service plans she is on, possibly higher winter rates (peak season) in Wisconsin, fixed "Facilities" charge spread over lower usage in Wisconsin, or some other factors.

As you know, I have had plenty of problems with APS and its rates, but this is not one of them. At the same time, just because APS's rates are lower for Ms. Mangold compared to those in Wisconsin hardly makes them just and reasonable. For the reasons I have stated and the evidence I have submitted into the dockets, I do agree that APS rate increase should be denied

(https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000004158.pdf) and retail competition should be permitted in Arizona (https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000005269.pdf) if Commissioner Kennedy wants to stand with the people.

Please let me know if you have any questions or wish to discuss further.

	AND THE SECOND CONTROL OF SECOND CONTROL OF SECOND CONTROL CON
Thanks.	
Abhay Padgaonl abhay@pobox.c	car om (602) 628-1234
	This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com